Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,907	FURUKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh B. Duong	2822	

All Participants:

(1) *Khanh B. Duong*.

(2) *Scott Stinebruner*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *29 September 2005*

Time: *2:00 PM*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claim 1 was found to comprise a minor typographical error on line 2. Specifically, the phrase "a substrate fin" should have been "a substrate and a semiconductor fin" Mr. Stinebruner agreed to and authorized the Examiner's Amendment to correct the error.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)